1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 HAROLD HARDEN, Case No. 2:14-CV-00377-APG-PAL 5 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR 6 RELIEF OF JUDGMENT AS A v. **MATTER OF LAW** 7 DR. MONNINGOFF and S/O AGULAR, 8 Defendants. (Dkt. #76) 9 10 Plaintiff Harold Harden filed a "motion for relief of judgment as a matter of law." (Dkt. 11 #76. Harden objects that I granted an extension of time for the defendants to file responses to all 12 pending motions. (Dkt. #70.) "[D]istrict judges have inherent power to control their dockets." Gadda v. State Bar of Cal., 511 F.3d 933, 939 (9th Cir. 2007) (quotation omitted). The reasons 13 14 given warranted an extension. (Dkt. ##68, 70.) 15 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff Harold Harden's motion for relief of 16 judgment as a matter of law (Dkt. #76) is DENIED. DATED this 28th day of August, 2015. 17 18 ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28